





Editor/Author Correspondence

Edi DELETE

tor Subject: [JRC] Editor Decision

202 Dear Authors,

()-

 $^{11-}_{08}$ We have decided on your submission to the Journal of Robotics and Control (JRC),

05:3

5 Our decision is: Accepted with Mayor Revision

The detail of the comment is as follow.

Comment of Editor:

>> The Title must fully describe the paper's content in short.

The Abstracts must contain at least 150 words up to 250 words, consist of 2-3 sentences as

brief intro about the paper, 2-3 sentences to describe how the problem is solved, and 2-4 sentences showing the results of experiments/simulation, ended with 1-2 sentences as short main conclusions of the work.

The Introduction section must explain the background of the problem and the urgency of the study, which can be proved by providing some previous researches and works, and also how to solve the problem in brief. Also, the contribution and the importance of the research must be stated clearly.

The Method contains an explanation of the research method and the proposed method. This section can include research diagrams, system block diagrams, wiring diagrams and program flow diagrams.

The Results and Discussion section should provide a comparison to a similar method from previous works and researches.

The minimal References are 30 from an English Journal and using IEEE Style.

>> The Figure, Table and Equation are some of the most beneficial parts that will be seen first when reading a paper, hence it needs to be made easy to read and as best as possible.

The Image/Figure. It must be in PNG or JPG/JPEG format with 600-1200 dpi. Please don't crop, or use snipping and print-screens tools. Otherwise, the image resolution will be compromised.

The Tabel. Please use the Insert Table feature.

The Equation. Use the Insert Equation or Ms Equation. We suggest using 3 columns of the table to help, and then to make the equation on the middle of the column and the number of the equation on the right-side column.

All of Figure, Tabel, and Equation must be given by information, explanation and analysis, not only included without description.

- >> Please use Grammarly to check your manuscript. The free Grammarly is enough for fixing some typo and grammar mistakes. The proofreading is recommended to increase the quality of the English language and writing.
- >> The author must follow the template journal and the similarity must be under 20% or the manuscript will be rejected.

Comment of Reviewer 1:
>>

The manuscript is hard to be understood and words should be improved.

Overall, the authors have made a good attempt. However, the authors' proposed method does not adequately describe their data. The results are not supported by any mathematical equations. Readers will fail to understand the scientific contribution of this research.

The manuscript is hard to be understood and words should be improved.

References aren't formatted according to rules.

Overall, the authors have made a good attempt. However, the authors' proposed method does not adequately describe their data. The results are not supported by any mathematical equations. Readers will fail to understand the scientific contribution of this research. Add your citation by citing 30 manuscripts on IEEE

Comment of Reviewer 2: >>>
Title is too simple, too general, make the specific title. Paper is too simple, need more explanation about research background, method, literature review, comparison on the result with other research. The abstract is not convincing and is disorganized, it should be refined to precisely illustrate what authors have done in this paper within 150 words. The abstract must be a concise yet comprehensive reflection of what is in your paper. In the Introduction part, the new features of the proposed method and the main advantages of the results over others should be clearly described. the method section should be explained in terms of the method for determining liquid density, the relationship between liquid density and temperature Not yet seen a conclusion, the comparison of the results of research conducted Many details are missing and others unclear. References aren't formatted according to rules. Some articles listed in References are old.
Comment of Reviewer 3:
>> ===================================

The results are not easy to follow.

The English language of this paper too weak, please send the paper to the native speaker, there are several sentences which too poor.

The writing of the manuscript. There are a many incomplete sentences or sentences without

1 1	
Sub	jects.

Many details are missing and others unclear.

Overall, the authors have made a good attempt. However, the authors' proposed method does not adequately describe their data. The results are not supported by any mathematical equations. Readers will fail to understand the scientific contribution of this research.

There are no citations for many sentences in this manuscript. Why? Please check.

The manuscript is hard to be understood and words should be improved.

Overall, the authors have made a good attempt. However, the authors' proposed method does not adequately describe their data. The results are not supported by any mathematical equations. Readers will fail to understand the scientific contribution of this research. The manuscript is not well.

The manuscript is not well.
Comment of Reviewer 4: >>
The order of citations isn't convincing.
The manuscript is not well.
Writing and organization: poor There is no novelty in the method proposed.
There is no noverty in the method proposed.
Please, revise your manuscript based on the editor and reviewer suggestion, advice and comment. Then, send your revision manuscript using our system journal.
Thank you,
Best Regards,
Joel Padron
JRC Editor.
Journal of Robotics and Control (JRC)
Email: jrc@umy.ac.id jrcofumy@gmail.com
Website: http://journal.umy.ac.id/index.php/jrc
Journal of Robotics and Control (JRC)

Email: jrc@umy.ac.id || jrcofumy@gmail.com Website: http://journal.umy.ac.id/index.php/jrc