



Editor/Author Correspondence

Edit **DELETE**

Subject: [JRC] Editor Decision or

2021 Dear Authors.

AM

04 We have decided on your submission to the Journal of Robotics and Control (JRC), 05:49

Our decision is: Accepted with Mayor Revision

The detail of the comment is as follow.

Comment of Editor:

>> The Title must fully describe the paper's content in short.

The Abstracts must contain at least 150 words up to 250 words, consist of 2-3 sentences as brief intro about the paper, 2-3 sentences to describe how the problem is solved, and 2-4 sentences showing the results of experiments/simulation, ended with 1-2 sentences as short main conclusions of the work.

The Introduction section must explain the background of the problem and the urgency of the study, which can be proved by providing some previous researches and works, and also how to solve the problem in brief. Also, the contribution and the importance of the research must be stated clearly.

The Method contains an explanation of the research method and the proposed method. This section can include research diagrams, system block diagrams, wiring diagrams and program flow diagrams.

The Results and Discussion section should provide a comparison to a similar method from previous works and researches.

The minimal References are 30 from an English Journal and using IEEE Style.

>> The Figure, Table and Equation are some of the most beneficial parts that will be seen first when reading a paper, hence it needs to be made easy to read and as best as possible.

The Image/Figure. It must be in PNG or JPG/JPEG format with 600-1200 dpi. Please don't crop, or use snipping and print-screens tools. Otherwise, the image resolution will be compromised.

The Tabel. Please use the Insert Table feature.

The Equation. Use the Insert Equation or Ms Equation. We suggest using 3 columns of the table to help, and then to make the equation on the middle of the column and the number of the equation on the right-side column.

All of Figure, Tabel, and Equation must be given by information, explanation and analysis, not only included without description.

>> Please use Grammarly to check your manuscript. The free Grammarly is enough for fixing some typo and grammar mistakes. The proofreading is recommended to increase the quality of the English language

and writing.
>> The author must follow the template journal and the similarity must be under 20% or the manuscript will be rejected.
Comment of Reviewer 1: >>
 1-The manuscript is not well. 2-Writing and organization: poor 3-References: The reference should be update and Recommended to be used from related papers publish in tis journal. 4-The description of manuscript is very important for potential reader and other researchers. I encourage the authors to have their manuscript proof-edited by a native English speaker to enhance the level of paper presentation. 5-The conclusions in this manuscript are primitive. Write your conclusions. 6-References aren't formatted according to rules.
Comment of Reviewer 2:
 Abstract is good, but it must include background, methods, analysis results and conclusions The background is good, but the comparative article is still lacking. take an article from IEEE. The method is less clear and provides a complete description of the method you are using The description of manuscript is very important for potential reader and other researchers. I encourage the authors to have their manuscript proof-edited by a native English speaker to enhance the level of paper presentation. Many details are missing and others unclear. The conclusions in this manuscript are primitive. Write your conclusions. References aren't formatted according to rules. The manuscript isn't formatted correctly.
 9. Overall, the authors have made a good attempt. However, the authors' proposed method does not adequately describe their data. The results are not supported by any mathematical equations. Readers will fail to understand the scientific contribution of this research. 10. Add your citation by citing 30 manuscripts on IEEE
Comment of Reviewer 3: >>

- 1-All Figures , needs to be enhanced in terms of resolution and size as it is hard for reader to trace it in its current form.
- 2-The manuscript isn't formatted correctly.
- 3-The English language of this paper too weak, please send the paper to the native speaker, there are several sentences which too poor.
- 4-The manuscript is hard to be understood and words should be improved.
- 5-The conclusions in this manuscript are primitive. Write your conclusions.
- 6-References aren't formatted according to rules.
- 7-The order of citations isn't convincing.

=======================================	
Comment of Reviewer 4:	
>>	

1-All Figures, needs to be enhanced in terms of resolution and size as it is hard for reader to trace it in its

- current form.
- 2-The manuscript isn't formatted correctly.
- 3-The English language of this paper too weak, please send the paper to the native speaker, there are several sentences which too poor.
- 4-The manuscript is hard to be understood and words should be improved.
- 5-The conclusions in this manuscript are primitive. Write your conclusions.
- 6-References aren't formatted according to rules.
- 7-The order of citations isn't convincing.

Please, revise your manuscript based on the editor and reviewer suggestion, advice and comment. Then, send your revision manuscript using our system journal.

Thank you,

Best Regards, Prashant Shukla JRC Editor.

Journal of Robotics and Control (JRC)

Email: jrc@umy.ac.id || jrcofumy@gmail.com Website: http://journal.umy.ac.id/index.php/jrc

Journal of Robotics and Control (JRC)

Email: jrc@umy.ac.id || jrcofumy@gmail.com Website: http://journal.umy.ac.id/index.php/jrc